SUSTAINABLE GROWTH AND ENVIRONMENT CAPITAL SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE DURING 2012-2013

ITEM / MEETING	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
13 JUNE 2012			
Waste 2020 Programme-Energy from Waste Facility and Other Associate Works and Services	 That the Committee note and endorse the actions taken, and to be taken, in connection with the procurement of the Energy from Waste Facility and other associated works and services That the Committee continue to be kept updated as the Waste 2020 Programme and facilities progress. 	Councillor Lee, Cabinet Member for Culture, Recreation and Strategic Commissioning	No further reports were requested by the committee. The decision made by Councillor Seaton, Cabinet Member for Resources and Councillor Lee, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Culture, Recreation and Strategic Commissioning and published on 13 August 2012 regarding Energy from Waste Facility and associated works and services – AUG12/CMDN/077 was called in on 15 August 2012. The Committee heard the call-in on 29 August and following discussion and questions raised on the reasons stated on the request for call-in, the Sustainable Growth and Environment Capital Scrutiny Committee did <u>not</u> agree to the call-in of this decision.
12 JULY 2012			
Interim Report on the Performance of Serco Partnership	The Committee recommends that the Serco Partnership Manager provide the Committee with an annual report on progress of the partnership.	Sean Hanson, Serco Partnership Manager	Annual report to be provided as part of the 2013/2014 work programme.
Enterprise Peterborough	The Committee recommends that the Enterprise Peterborough Partnership Manager provide the Committee with a report on progress of the partnership in six months.	Richard Oldfield, General Manager, Enterprise Partnership	Report was presented to the Committee at its meeting on 18 March 2013. A further report has been requested in six months time.

SUSTAINABLE GROWTH AND ENVIRONMENT CAPITAL SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE DURING 2012-2013

ITEM / MEETING	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS			
6 SEPTEMBER 2012						
Peterborough 'Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL): Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule (PDCS)' and Infrastructure Delivery Schedule (IDS)	The Committee recommends that: I. The consultation documentation makes it absolutely clear that the intention, subject to consultation, is that the element of the CIL receipts which is to be ring fenced for spend by Neighbourhood Committees should be distributed to each Neighbourhood Committee on an equal basis i.e. each Neighbourhood Committee would receive exactly the same level of CIL funding irrespective of size, population or level of growth within a Neighbourhood Committee Area. II. The Cabinet report emphasises that infrastructure projects can be added to the Peterborough Infrastructure Delivery Schedule (IDS) 'at any time'. This would ensure, for example, that projects identified in Community Action Plans that have been justified with an evidence base later this year could be added to the IDS after 24 September 2012 without having to wait for the annual full refresh of the IDS.	Richard Kay / Simon Pickstone for inclusion into the Cabinet Report for 24 September 2012 meeting.	Officers advised that wording was amended as follows: The wording in the Peterborough CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule consultation document (November 2012) para 5.11 read : "The city council will provide a meaningful proportion of the CIL monies to local neighbourhoods from the adoption of their Charging Schedule. The current proposal is to take 5% of total annual CIL receipts and split this amount equally across the Neighbourhood Committee Areas". The wording in the Peterborough CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule consultation document (November 2012) para's 5.13 and 5.14 read: 5.13 As required, the city council will publish on its website a list of infrastructure projects or types of infrastructure that it intends will be, or may be, wholly or partly funded by CIL following adoption of the Draft Charging Schedule. As such, this list (known as the Regulation 123 List) will set out the city councils priorities and will dictate which projects receive CIL funding in the immediate future as CIL money cannot be spend on anything which is not on this list. 5.14 It is anticipated that, through an agreed process working with key partners, an Annual Infrastructure Delivery Schedule outlining the coming years' future infrastructure priorities will be produced. This would work with a range of other agendas and plans.			

SUSTAINABLE GROWTH AND ENVIRONMENT CAPITAL SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE DURING 2012-2013

ITEM / MEETING	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
8 NOVEMBER 2012			
Flood and Water Management Supplementary Planning Document (SPD	 The Committee recommend that: Cabinet adopt the Flood and Water Management Supplementary Planning Document; and that; The Flood and Water Management Officer reword section 6.11.8 to clarify that the tree and woodland cover would also be expanded in quantity as well as quality. 	Julia Chatterton, Flood & Water Management Officer	At its meeting on 10 December 2012 Cabinet considered the report and RESOLVED to: Adopt the Flood and Water Management Supplementary Planning Document. The SPD was amended as requested before it went to Cabinet in December 2012. Section 6.11.8 was then moved to be 6.10.7 as it was felt to have more weight in the section on biodiversity and habitat (6.10) than in the section on health and safety, access and amenity (6.11). New wording in bold and underlined below: 6.10.7 As discussed in the <i>Peterborough Trees and Woodlands</i> <i>Strategy (2012)</i> , Peterborough City Council aims to sustainably maintain and improve the quality of existing tree and woodland cover <u>as well as to find opportunities to expand the extent of woodland</u> . Site design should therefore start with the assumption that existing native trees should be retained <u>and where possible new native trees</u> should be incorporated into the site design. Trees can provide benefits in terms of water quality and flood risk management as discussed in the Environment Agency and Forestry commission's <i>Woodland for Water (2011)</i> report. The city council's natural environment team can provide advice on tree management.

This page is intentionally left blank